RESEARCH Open Access



Risk factors associated with preterm birth among mothers delivered at Lira Regional Referral Hospital

Tom Etil^{1*}, Bosco Opio², Bernard Odur¹, Charles Lwanga¹ and Leonard Atuhaire¹

Abstract

Background The World Health Organization (WHO) defines Preterm Birth (PTB) as "a live birth taking place before the expected 37 weeks of gestation". Annually, approximately 15 million infants are born prematurely, constituting significantly to infant mortality during the initial four weeks of life, responsible for 40% of deaths among children under the age of five. Evidently, preterm deliveries have contributed to 46% of admissions to the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) at Lira Regional Referral Hospital (LRRH) over the past three years. Paradoxically, while the prevalence of preterm births remains high, there is a lack of documented information regarding the underlying risk factors. Consequently, the primary objective of this study was to assess the potential risk factors associated with preterm birth at LRRH.

Methods An analytical cross-sectional research was undertaken at LRRH, employing a quantitative methodology. The study utilized secondary data obtained from a total of 590 comprehensive maternal medical records, of deliveries that occurred at the facility between April 2020 and July 2021. The collected data underwent analysis using STATA version 17 software. To identify predictors of preterm birth, a Logistic regression model was applied, yielding adjusted odds ratios (AOR) alongside 95% confidence intervals (CI). The significance level was set at p < 0.05 to establish statistical significance. Furthermore, assessments for multicollinearity and model fitness were conducted using the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) and linktest, respectively.

Results The prevalence of preterm delivery among mothers who gave birth at LRRH stood at 35.8%. The outcomes of logistic regression analysis revealed that maternal employment status had a statistically significant association with preterm birth (AOR = 0.657, p = 0.037, 95%Cl: 0.443-0.975); having a baby with low birth weight (AOR = 0.228, p < 0.001, 95% Cl: 0.099-0.527) and experiencing preeclampsia (AOR = 0.142, p < 0.001, 95% Cl: 0.088-0.229) were also identified as significant predictors of preterm birth in the study.

Conclusions and recommendations The occurrence of preterm delivery is significantly higher (35.8%) among mothers who gave birth at LRRH when compared to the national average (13.6%). The prevalence of preterm birth among mothers was linked to factors such as employment status, delivery of low birth weight infants, and the presence of preeclampsia. Consequently, the research proposes a set of recommendations. Firstly, the Ministry of Health (MoH) should evaluate the present state of readiness within the healthcare system to effectively handle

*Correspondence: Tom Etil etiltom@gmail.com

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2023, corrected publication 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/40/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

cases of preterm birth both within medical facilities and the community. Secondly, the Ministry of Gender, Labour, and Social Development should leverage Labor Officers to implement and uphold the regulations stipulated in the Employment Act and Labor Laws.

Keywords Factors associated, Preterm birth, Lira Regional Referral Hospital

Introduction

Preterm birth (PTB), as defined by the World Health Organization (WHO), refers to "a live birth taking place prior to the completion of 37 weeks of gestation" [1]. Annually, approximately 15 million infants are delivered prematurely, and this global pattern is experiencing an upward trajectory [1]. Notably, PTB emerged as the primary cause of neonatal mortality within the initial four weeks of life, ranking as the second leading factor behind under-five deaths on a global scale; prematurity is responsible for 40% of under-five fatalities [2]. Geographically, preterm birth prevails across 184 nations, displaying incidence rates ranging from 5 to 18% among all newborns. Specifically, Africa and South Asia jointly contribute to 60 to 85% of all instances of preterm births [3]. Comparing demographics, approximately 12% of newborns in less affluent nations are born prematurely, in contrast to 9% in more economically prosperous countries [1].

Preterm birth rates in Sub-Saharan Africa have been investigated, revealing estimates of 10.9% in Gambia and 12% in Tanzania [4]. Within global rankings, Uganda holds the 28th position for preterm births, standing at 13.6 per 1000 live births. Tragically, 25% of the 27 deaths per 1000 live births of newborns can be directly attributed to preterm births. In light of the new global infant mortality goals aiming for fewer than 10 deaths per 1000 live births by 2035, Uganda must intensify its efforts to reduce preterm birth rates [4]. Achieving this objective would demand a targeted intervention on addressing preventable causes of preterm birth in low-income nations [4]. While factors such as demographics, social circumstances, obstetric care, and pregnancy-related conditions have been implicated in preterm births worldwide, it's important to note that these risks differ according to geographical regions, leading to marked disparities between affluent and low-income countries [1, 4, 5].

To address the issue of premature births, the Ministry of Health, operating under the Government's initiative, has established a nationwide framework for pregnancy care and assistance. This includes bolstering emergency medical services and referral systems, enhancing maternal, neonatal, and child health provisions across all tiers of healthcare, expanding access to sexual and reproductive health amenities with a particular emphasis on family planning and age-appropriate information, and elevating the quality of national laboratory and diagnostic services [6]. Additionally, the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) through its

Regional Health Integration to Enhance Services-North, Lango Activity (RHITES-N, Lango), provides support to hospitals like the LRRH in terms of maternal healthcare services. Despite the implementation of these measures, data shows that over the past three years, 46% of admissions to the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) at LRRH were attributed to preterm deliveries [7]. While, prior researches focusing on various contexts have produced inconclusive findings regarding the predictors of preterm birth, there is a notable absence of documented evidence concerning predictors of premature birth specifically within Lira district. Given this research gap, the current study aims to evaluate the risk factors linked to preterm birth at LRRH, with the ultimate goal of pinpointing potential interventions capable of mitigating the occurrence of preterm births.

Methodology

Data source and study design

The collection of secondary data involved the retrieval of information from the medical documents (specifically, prenatal cards and registers) of mothers who gave birth at LRRH during the period from April 2020 to July 2021. A cross-sectional sample of 590 comprehensive records of mothers who gave birth at LRRH was examined.

Study variables and measurement

The dependent variable in this study was preterm birth, dichotomized as birth occurring before 37 weeks (preterm birth) and at 37 weeks or later (term birth). Accordingly, a mother who gave birth before 37 weeks was classified as having experienced preterm birth and assigned a code of 1, while a mother who delivered at 37 weeks or beyond was categorized as having undergone term birth and assigned a code of 0.

The independent variables included various aspects of family socio-demographics. Age was measured in completed years and subsequently grouped into three categories during the analysis: <18 years, 18–30 years, and 31 years or older. Marital status was stratified into four categories: married, single, divorced, and widow/widower. Education level was divided into four categories: no education, primary education, secondary education, and tertiary education. Employment status was dichotomized as employed or not employed. Location was classified as rural or urban. Other independent variables included Body Mass Index (BMI) measured in kg/m², which was segmented into four categories during

analysis: underweight, normal weight, overweight, and obese. Substance use was categorized as active drug user or not an active drug user. Maternal factors were also considered, with mode of delivery categorized as either normal delivery or caesarean section. Antenatal Care (ANC) attendance was categorized as either less than 3 times or 3 times or more. Anemia was divided into two categories: <10 g/dl and ≥10 g/dl. History of abortion was classified as either having experienced abortion or never having experienced abortion. The birth weight of the mother's baby was grouped into "yes" (<2,500 g) or "no" (≥2,500 g). Comorbidities were classified as, whether present or absent. HIV status was categorized as sero-positive or sero-negative. Parity was stratified as having fewer than 4 children or having 4 or more children. Inter-pregnancy interval was segmented as less than 24 months or 24 months or more. Previous preterm birth was dichotomized as "yes" or "no," and preeclampsia was similarly classified as present or absent. Furthermore, fetal factors were considered, including the sex of the child, categorized as male, female, or both (in the case of twins of different sexes). Pregnancy outcome was classified as singleton or twins. Congenital abnormalities were recorded as "yes" or "no."

Data analysis

The data analysis was conducted using STATA version 17.0 software. In the initial univariate analysis, frequencies and percentages were computed to describe the variables considered in the study. At bivariate analysis, associations were examined using the Pearson Chisquare test with a significance level set at p<0.05. Factors that demonstrated significance in this analysis were selected for inclusion in the subsequent multivariate analysis. The purpose of the multivariate analysis was to estimate the individual net effects of each independent factor on the dependent variable. Notably, due to the low prevalence of preterm births (ranging from 5 to 18%) as indicated in the literature, which categorizes it as a rare event, the binary complementary log-log model was a potential choice for isolating net effects, as opposed to the logistic and probit models. However, an evaluation of three Link functions (Logistic, Complementary log-log, and Probit) was performed to determine their suitability for fitting the data. Based on the Akaike's Information Criteria (AIC) and Bayesian Information Criteria (BIC), the logistic model exhibited the lowest AIC and BIC values, rendering it the most plausible model for identifying predictors of preterm birth. Subsequently, two diagnostic tests were executed: a multicollinearity test and a model fitness test employing the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) and link test, respectively.

Ethics and consent to participate

This study employed the Research Ethics Committee of Makerere University's School of Statistics and Planning to obtain approval and oversee the research process, ensuring adherence to both the regulations set forth by the Uganda National Council for Science and Technology (UNCST) and other international guidelines concerning the involvement of human subjects. The study made use of aggregated data sourced from a hospital, for which institutional authorization had been obtained to carry out the research. Informed consent was not pursued, as the data extracted did not contain any elements that could potentially reveal the identities of the patients. Physical copies of the data were securely stored and accessible solely to the research team. Similarly, electronic databases were safeguarded with passwords, with access restricted exclusively to the research team. Lastly, a request for a waiver of consent was submitted to Lira Regional Referral Hospital, an entity established to ensure the protection of study participants.

Limitations

The research employed pre-existing data gathered at the establishment. The occurrence of the Covid-19 pandemic influenced the quantity of mothers who gave birth at the facility during the specified time frame (April 2020 to May 2021). To tackle this issue, the investigation period was prolonged until July 2021. Certain instances of insufficient or absent information, notably within the records, were faced. Nevertheless, this challenge was managed by incorporating information from antenatal cards to supplement the absent data.

Results

Background characteristics and differentials in preterm births by Socio-demographic, maternal and fetal characteristics of the mothers

Table 1 shows the distribution of participants based on socio-demographic, maternal, and fetal attributes. Some entries exhibited a lack of approximately 10% information in specific variables, causing a deviation from the total count of 590 respondents. These incompletely recorded instances were omitted from the analysis. The tabulated data indicates that out of the total 590 female participants, roughly 36% experienced preterm deliveries. The largest segment (82%) of the respondents fell within the age range of 18 to 30, approximately 56% were not engaged in employment, and nearly 48% resided in rural areas. In relation to marital status, a significant majority of the mothers (87%) were married, while an estimated 6% possessed limited or no literacy. Concerning maternal characteristics, nearly 9% of the mothers attended less than three antenatal care sessions, and around 40% underwent Caesarean section deliveries. Moreover, about

Table 1 Differentials in preterm births by Socio-demographic, maternal and fetal characteristics of the mothers (N = 590)

		Frequency	%	No. of preterm birth	%	$oldsymbol{\chi}^2$ (p-value)
Preterm birth status	Term birth (≥ 37 weeks)	379	64.2			
	Preterm birth (<37weeks)	211	35.8			
Socio-demographic characteristics						
Age group	<18	17	2.9	8	47.1	2.62
	18–30	479	82.2	164	34.2	(0.269)
	31 and above	87	14.9	36	41.4	
ВМІ	Underweight	6	6	3	50	4.84
	Normal	248	248	81	32.7	(0.184)
	Overweight	254	254	99	39	
	Obese	19	19	10	52.6	
Marital status	Married	513	87.2	180	35.1	0.83
	Single	14	2.4	5	35.7	(0.842)
	Divorced	54	9.2	22	40.7	
	Widow/Widower	7	1.2	2	28.6	
Education Level	No Education	32	5.5	10	31.3	4.0
	Primary	203	34.6	66	32.5	(0.262)
	Secondary	302	51.6	120	39.7	
	Tertiary	50	8.5	15	30	
Location	Rural	267	47.7	86	32.2	1.75
	Urban	293	52.3	110	37.5	(0.186)
Employment ¹	Employed	257	43.7	105	40.9	4.9
	Not employed	331	56.3	106	32	(0.027*)
Drug use (Smoking/Alcohol)	Not active	379	64.3	137	36.2	0.05
	Active	210	35.7	74	35.2	(0.825)
Maternal Characteristics						
ANC Attendance	<3 times	55	9.3	21	38.2	0.15
	\geq 3 times	534	90.7	190	35.6	(0.702)
Mode of delivery	Normal delivery	346	60.1	114	33	3.76
	Caesarian section	230	39.9	94	40.9	(0.053)
Parity	<4 children	539	92.4	185	34.3	4.37
	≥ 4 children	44	7.6	22	50	(0.037*)
History of abortion	Aborted	60	10.2	27	45	2.45
	Never aborted	529	89.8	184	34.7	(0.118)
Inter-pregnancy interval (in months)	< 24 months	66	11.2	27	40.9	0.86
	≥ 24 months	524	88.8	184	35.1	(0.355)
Low birth weight baby	Yes (< 2500g)	37	6.3	26	70.3	20.46
	No (≥ 2500g)	553	93.7	185	33.5	(<0.001*)
HIV status	Sero-positive	80	13.9	32	40	0.69
	Sero-negative	497	86.1	175	35.2	(0.407)
Comorbidity	Present	41	7.1	10	24.4	2.62
	Absent	538	92.9	199	37	(0.105)
Anemia/Level of hemoglobin	<10g/dl	13	2.3	4	30.8	0.17
	≥ 10g/dl	561	97.7	204	36.4	(0.678)
Preeclampsia	Yes	134	22.8	92	68.7	81.34
	No	455	77.2	119	26.2	(< 0.001*)
Previous preterm	Yes	63	10.8	33	52.4	8.66
	No	521	89.2	175	33.6	(0.003*)
Fetal Characteristics						
Sex of child	Male	259	43.9	94	36.3	6.98
	Female	320	54.2	109	34.1	(0.031*)
	Both Male and Female ²	11	1.9	8	72.7	

Table 1 (continued)

Variable		Frequency	%	No. of preterm birth	%	$oldsymbol{\chi}^2$ (p-value)
Pregnancy outcome	Singleton	570	96.6	197	34.6	10.56
	Twins	20	3.4	14	70	(<0.001*)
Congenital abnormalities	Yes	19	3.4	8	42.1	0.35
	No	546	96.6	194	35.5	(0.557)

NB: All variables do not sum to 590 due to missing data; * Significance at 5%; X^2 = Chi-square

Table 2 Multivariate Analysis of factors associated with preterm birth

Variable		Logistic regression Model					
		AOR	p-value	[95% CI]			
Socio-demographi	c Characteristics						
Employment	Employed	1.000					
	Not employed	0.657	0.037**	0.443- 0.975			
Maternal Characteristics							
Mode of delivery	Normal delivery	1.000					
	Caesarian section	1.026	0.902	0.681- 1.545			
Parity	<4 children	1.000					
	≥ 4 children	1.708	0.150	0.823- 3.542			
Low birth weight baby	Yes (< 2500g)	1.000					
	No (≥ 2500g)	0.228	< 0.001***	0.099– 0.527			
Preeclampsia	Yes	1.000					
	No	0.142	< 0.001***	0.088- 0.229			
Previous preterm	Yes	1.000					
	No	0.588	0.110	0.307- 1.128			
Fetal Characteristic	S						
Sex of child	Male	1.000					
	Female	0.704	0.089*	0.470- 1.055			
	Both male and female	1.640	0.639	0.207- 13.007			
Pregnancy outcome	Single tone	1.000		•			
	Twins	3.825	0.090*	0.810- 18.060			
Constant		21.488	< 0.001***	7.278– 64.449			

^{***} p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1; AOR = Adjusted odds ratio; CI = Confidence interval

14% of the mothers tested positive for HIV, 6% gave birth to low weight infants, and almost 23% experienced preeclampsia. In regard to fetal attributes, a considerable proportion of the mothers (97%) had single babies, 54% of whom were female, and roughly 3% exhibited congenital abnormalities.

Table 1 also provides an overview of variations in preterm birth across different socio-demographic, maternal, and fetal characteristics. The table demonstrates that several factors are significantly linked to preterm birth, including maternal employment, parity, low birth weight babies, preeclampsia, previous preterm births, the sex of the child, and pregnancy outcome. Differences in preterm birth based on socio-demographic characteristics reveal that maternal employment (chi-square=4.90, p=0.027) is notably associated with preterm birth.

An analysis of maternal characteristics demonstrates significant associations with preterm birth. These include parity (chi-square=4.37, p=0.037), low birth weight baby (chi-square=20.46, p<0.001), preeclampsia (chi-square=81.34, p<0.001), and previous preterm births (chi-square=8.66, p=0.003).

Furthermore, an analysis of fetal characteristics indicates that the sex of the child (chi-square=6.98, p=0.031) and pregnancy outcome (chi-square=10.56, p<0.001) are also significantly associated with preterm birth.

Multivariate analysis

The factors that were found to be statistically and significantly associated with preterm birth during the bivariate analysis were further examined in multivariate logistic regression. These factors were; employment, parity, low birth weight baby, preeclampsia, previous preterm births, pregnancy outcomes, and the sex of the child. In Table 2, it is evident that the factors indicating a significant association with preterm birth were: maternal employment, the presence of a low birth weight baby, and the occurrence of preeclampsia. The results from the logistic regression analysis indicated the predictive potential of certain socio-demographic factors in relation to preterm birth. Specifically, the analysis indicated that maternal employment played a role in predicting preterm birth. Accordingly, mothers who were not employed exhibited a roughly 34% reduced odds of giving birth to a preterm baby when compared to those who were employed (AOR=0.657, p=0.037, 95% CI: 0.443-0.975).

¹ Formal employment (salary and wage earners)

² In case of twins of different sexes

Examining maternal factors, the results revealed significant associations between preterm birth and having a baby with low birth weight and experiencing preeclampsia. In this regard, mothers who gave birth to babies weighing 2500 g or more displayed a nearly 77% reduced odds of having a preterm birth, in comparison to those who gave birth to babies weighing less than 2500 g (AOR=0.228, p<0.001, 95% CI: 0.099–0.527). Furthermore, mothers without preeclampsia exhibited an approximately 86% reduced odds of experiencing preterm birth when contrasted with mothers who had preeclampsia (AOR=0.142, p<0.001, 95% CI: 0.088–0.229).

However, fetal factors such as the sex of the child, pregnancy outcome, and the presence of a congenitally abnormal baby were not found to have a statistically significant association with preterm birth.

Discussions

Prevalence of preterm birth

The prevalence of preterm birth among mothers who delivered in LRRH was 35.8%. This indicated high prevalence compared to 13.6% in Mulago National Referral Hospital, Uganda [4], 18.3% in Nairobi, Kenya [8], 17.5% in a rural district hospital, Rwanda [9], 24.4% in Kilimanjaro Christian Medical Centre, Northern Tanzania [10], 25.9% in Jimma University Specialized Teaching & Referral Hospital, South-West Ethiopia [11], 24.3% in Mansoura University Hospital, Egypt [12], and 12.3% in Fafen Zone, Somalia area, Eastern Ethiopia [13]. The high prevalence of preterm birth in LRRH could be due to poor quality of antenatal care. This is a result of inadequate equipment such as antenatal ultrasound machines to identify fetal antenatal conditions and skills to manage these diagnoses, inadequate skills for the early identification of mothers at risk of preterm birth for timely management, inadequate multiple micronutrient supplementation, poor referral systems, inadequate and poor health infrastructures, inadequate health supplies, and health system structural factors. These technical, interpersonal, resource, and infrastructural factors impede the provision and experience of good quality maternity care at health facilities [6].

Socio-demographic factors

The study anticipated that socio-demographic characteristics, such as age, BMI, marital status, education level, location, employment, and drug use (smoking/alcohol), would not exhibit a significant association with preterm birth among mothers delivered at LRRH. However, the findings revealed that employment emerged as a noteworthy predictor of preterm birth. According to the results, mothers who were unemployed demonstrated a significant difference (AOR=0.657, p=0.037, 95% CI: 0.443–0.975), being 0.657 times less likely to deliver

preterm babies compared to those who were employed. This outcome aligns with studies conducted in Indonesia, which identified that working mothers faced a 16.2 times higher risk of delivering late preterm infants (LPI) in comparison to housewives (OR=16.2; 95% CI: 2.315-123.444) [14]; in Mulago Hospital, Uganda, being unemployed (AOR=0.36, 95%CI: 0.15-0.86, p=0.021) was associated with a 64% reduction in the likelihood of experiencing preterm birth [4]. Similarly, in Cyprus, long working hours (OR: 3.77, 95% CI: 2.08-6.84) were about 4 times linked to preterm birth [15]. At Mansoura University Hospital, Egypt, increased risks were also observed between long working hours and temporary contracts, and the risk of preterm delivery (AOR=2.36, CI: 1.18-7.78) and (AOR=1.98, CI: 1.72-8.74) respectively [12]. However, the findings of this study are not corroborated by research conducted in Nigeria, which revealed that maternal occupation did not significantly affect gestational age ($\chi^2=10.143$, p=0.428) and birth weight ($\chi^2=16.807$, p=0.079) at delivery. Nevertheless, it did significantly affect stillbirth rates ($\chi^2=28.134$, p=0.002) [16]. Furthermore, the nature of employment might impact a pregnant woman differently, depending on whether it involves manual or labor-intensive work. Similarly, a heavy workload could subject an expectant mother to stress, potentially leading to pregnancy complications and resulting in preterm delivery.

Maternal factors

The study hypothesized that antenatal care (ANC) visits, mode of delivery, parity, history of abortion, inter-pregnancy interval, mothers with low birth weight babies, HIV status, comorbidity, anemia, preeclampsia, and previous preterm births are not significantly associated with preterm birth. However, this study found that mothers with low birth weight babies and preeclampsia were statistically significant predictors of preterm birth. The statistical analysis indicated that a mother with a low birth weight baby was a statistically significant predictor of preterm birth (AOR=0.228, p<0.001, 95% CI: 0.099-0.527). Accordingly, mothers who gave birth to babies with a weight of ≥2500 g were 0.228 times less likely to experience preterm birth than those who gave birth to babies weighing < 2500 g. This finding aligns with studies conducted at Shire Suhul General Hospital in Northern Ethiopia. Those studies discovered that mothers with a history of bearing neonates weighing less than 2500 g, including the most recent birth (AOR: 2.78, 95% CI: 1.39-5.55), were 2.8 times more likely to have preterm deliveries compared to their counterparts [17]. Similarly, a study at Jimma University Specialized Teaching and Referral Hospital in South West Ethiopia demonstrated that a history of low birth weight (OR=0.085, CI: 0.04-0.18, p<0.001) increased the likelihood of preterm delivery

by a factor of 0.085 compared to those without a history of poor birth outcomes [11]. Further studies conducted in Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates, revealed that low birth weight babies (AOR=17.62, CI: 11.05-28.10, p<0.001) were nearly 18 times more likely to experience preterm births than their counterparts [5]. Additionally, in Public Hospitals of Fafen Zone, Somali Region, Eastern Ethiopia, newborns with birth weights less than 2500 g (AOR=3.78, 95% CI: 1.55-9.84, p<0.001) had a 3.78 times higher likelihood of being delivered preterm than babies with birth weights of ≥2500 g [13]. These findings could be attributed to various factors, including intrauterine growth restriction resulting from genetic factors and uterine infections, inadequate prenatal nutrition, chronic health conditions like diabetes, heart problems, and high blood pressure, placental issues, or maternal infections preventing proper oxygen and nutrient delivery to the fetus. Such conditions may contribute to the occurrence of preterm births and low birth weight babies [13, 17].

Additionally, preeclampsia was a statistically significant predictor of preterm birth (AOR=0.254, p<0.001, 95% CI: 0.185–0.348). This implies that mothers without preeclampsia were 0.254 times less likely to experience preterm birth than those with preeclampsia. This result is consistent with studies conducted at Mukalla Maternity and Childhood (MCH) Hospital in Yemen, which revealed that mothers with pre-eclampsia (AOR=4.120; CI: 1.818–9.340, p<0.001) were 4.12 times more likely to deliver preterm babies than those without [18]. Similarly, at Mulago Hospital in Uganda, mothers with preeclampsia (AOR=16.24, 95% CI: 3.11-84.70, p<0.001) were 16 times more likely to have a preterm birth than mothers without preeclampsia [4]. In Nanjing Maternity and Child Health Care Hospital, China, the odds of preterm birth among mothers with preeclampsia were 2.46 times higher (AOR=2.46, 95% CI: 1.78-3.40, p<0.001) than for mothers without the condition [19]. Likewise, in a regional hospital in Accra, Ghana, mothers with pre-eclampsia/eclampsia (AOR=3.4, 95% CI: 1.0-11.9, p<0.001) were 3.4 times more likely to experience preterm delivery than those without preeclampsia [20]. Preeclampsia may result from pregnancy complications characterized by high blood pressure, poor nutrition, high body fat, insufficient blood flow to the uterus, genetic factors, and signs of damage to other organ systems, most frequently affecting the liver and kidneys.

Fetal factors

The study also hypothesized that fetal factors (such as the sex of the child, pregnancy outcome, and congenital abnormalities status) are not associated with preterm birth. However, this study revealed that no fetal factors were associated with preterm birth, which contradicts studies conducted in Public Hospitals of the central zone, Tigray, Ethiopia. These previous studies showed that neonates with congenital/birth defects (AOR=3.19, 95% CI: 1.22, 8.34, p<0.05) were three times more likely than those without any birth defects to experience preterm birth [21]. Similarly, research conducted at Shire Suhul General Hospital in Northern Ethiopia found that visible physical neonatal congenital anomalies in the most recent baby (AOR=10.4; 95% CI: 1.66–65.2, p<0.05) increased the odds of preterm birth occurrence compared to normal babies [17]. This discrepancy could be attributed to the interaction of genetic and environmental risk factors contributing to preterm delivery in Ethiopia, which may not be a prevalent issue in Uganda, especially in Lira District [21].

Conclusions

This study examined the occurrence of preterm birth (PTB) and its related determinants within the context of deliveries at LRRH. The prevalence of preterm birth among mothers who gave birth at LRRH was documented at 35.8%. Specifically, the likelihood of preterm delivery was found to be lower among unemployed mothers in comparison to their employed counterparts. Similarly, mothers whose babies had a birth weight of less than 2500 g were observed to have a higher probability of delivering preterm compared to those whose babies had a normal birth weight. Conversely, the presence of preeclampsia was associated with a greater probability of preterm birth, whereas mothers without preeclampsia exhibited a reduced likelihood of delivering preterm babies.

Recommendations

Basing on the key findings from the study, the followings recommendations were made:

1. To address the elevated occurrence of preterm birth within the LRRH and Lango sub-region, it is imperative for the Ministry of Health to evaluate the existing state of the healthcare system's readiness to handle preterm births. This involves devising comprehensive plans and making necessary preparations, particularly in terms of procuring essential equipment. Furthermore, conducting training and mentorship programs aimed at imparting vital managerial and clinical proficiencies is essential. These programs are designed to empower frontline healthcare providers in promptly identifying and effectively managing expecting mothers who face a heightened risk of preterm birth. A crucial aspect of this initiative involves bolstering the referral system, ensuring a seamless pathway for timely and appropriate care. Concurrently, elevating the quality of antenatal care necessitates

the implementation of routine antenatal ultrasounds. This step aims to enhance the identification of fetal antenatal conditions, contributing to improved care. Additionally, providing multiple micronutrient supplementation to pregnant mothers at risk of preterm birth emerges as a fundamental strategy to augment the overall quality of antenatal care.

- 2. Expectant employed mothers within the area must receive safeguarding from the implementation of the Employment Act and Labor Laws by the Ministry of Gender, Labour, and Social Development. This can be achieved by deploying Labor Officers, a measure aimed at addressing the concern of premature childbirth among working mothers.
- 3. To effectively reduce the occurrence of preterm birth and its associated consequences among mothers with preeclampsia and low birth weight infants in the LRRH and the broader Lango subregion, it is crucial for healthcare professionals to recognize expectant mothers who are susceptible to delivering prematurely. This should be followed by the implementation of high-quality medical interventions, community-based health education, as well as informative awareness initiatives.

Suggestions for further research

The study emphasized the necessity for additional quantitative and qualitative investigation into the factors contributing to preterm birth. The comprehensive information gathered from maternal participants and other relevant sources will enable the identification of unexplored variables, thus influencing the development of treatments and policy strategies.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-023-06120-4.

Supplementary Material 1

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge support from Lira Regional Referral Hospital to allow us extract the data set.

Authors' contributions

T.E*, B.O, B.O*, C.L and L.A contributed to study design, development, data collection monitoring, data analysis, and writing of the manuscript. T.E participated in data collection, data entry and editing. Data analysis was done by T.E*, B.O, B.O*, C.L and L.A. All authors contributed to manuscript editing and approval of the final version.

Funding

The Author(s) received no funding for this study.

Data Availability

Data is available with the corresponding order on request.

Declarations

Ethical approval and consent to participate

This study employed the Research Ethics Committee of the School of Statistics and Planning at Makerere University to obtain endorsement and oversee the research process in accordance with both the regulations of the Uganda National Council for Science and Technology (UNCST) and other global research standards related to the involvement of human subjects. The study utilized aggregated data from a hospital, for which official institutional authorization was secured to carry out the research. Additionally, due to the absence of data elements that could potentially reveal the identities of patients, informed consent was not actively pursued. All physical copies of the data were securely stored and accessible solely to the members of the research team. Likewise, electronic databases were shielded with passwords, and access to these passwords was limited to the research team exclusively. Finally, a request for a waiver of consent was submitted to Lira Regional Referral Hospital, an entity responsible for safeguarding the well-being of study participants. The approval for this waiver, signifying protection for participants, was granted by the Research Coordinator of the hospital and is documented through their signature on the approval correspondence.

Consent for publication

Not applicable. However, we sought a waiver of consent to extract the data.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author details

¹School of Statistics and Planning, Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda ²Faculty of Public Health, Lira University, Lira, Uganda

Received: 23 December 2022 / Accepted: 10 November 2023 Published online: 23 November 2023

References

- Woday A, Muluneh DM, Sherif S. Determinants of preterm birth among mothers who gave birth at public hospitals in the Amhara region, Ethiopia: a case-control study, Plos One, pp. 1–12, 2019.
- Tigist B, Abdela A, Kahsay ZG. Preterm Birth and Associated Factors among mothers who gave Birth in Debremarkos Town Health Institutions, 2013 Institutional Based Cross Sectional Study. Gynecol Obstet. 2015;5(5):1–5.
- Pusdekar Y, Archana P, Kunal K, Savita B, Vanessa T. Rates and risk factors for preterm birth and low birthweight in the global network sites in six low- and low middle-income countries. Reprod Health. 2020;17(3):1–16.
- Ayebare E, Ntuyo P, Malande OO. Maternal, reproductive and obstetric factors associated with preterm births in Mulago Hospital, Kampala, Uganda: a case control study. Pan Afr Med J. 2018;30:1–8.
- Taha Z, Hassan AA, Ludmilla W-S, Dimitrios P. Factors Associated with Preterm Birth and Low Birth Weight in Abu Dhabi, the United Arab Emirates. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020:17:1–10.
- Ministry of Health., "Strategic Plan" pp. 41–8, 70–82, 2020/2021–2024/2025.
- 7. Kateemu A, Kintu D. "Saving Newborn Lives: A preemie's survival," 2020.
- Wagura P, Wasunna A, Laving A, Wamalwa D, Ng'ang'a P. Prevalence and factors associated with preterm birth at kenyatta national hospital. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2018;18(107):2–9.
- Chinwe HN, Michael H, Erigene R, Richard K. Prevalence and factors associated with preterm birth in a rural district hospital, Rwanda. Pan Afr Med J. 2022;43:173.
- Nathaniel KH, Leah SA, P, Nathaniel R, Obure J, Mahande MJ. Recurrence rate
 of preterm birth and associated factors among women who delivered at
 Kilimanjaro Christian Medical Centre in Northern Tanzania: a registry based
 cohort study. PLoS ONE. 2020;15(9):e0239037.
- Bekele I, Demeke T, Dugna K. Prevalence of Preterm Birth and its Associated factors among mothers delivered in Jimma University Specialized Teaching and Referral Hospital, Jimma Zone, Oromia Regional State, South West Ethiopia. J Women's Health Care. 2017;06(01):1–10.
- El-Khawaga G, Abdel-Hady El-Gilany A-H, Ghanem A. Incidence and occupational risk factors of preterm delivery among working mothers: a single center study in Egypt. TAF Prev Med Bull. 2016;16(3):199–205.

- Muhumed II, Kebira JY, Mabalhin MO. Preterm Birth and Associated factors among mothers who gave birth in Fafen Zone Public hospitals, Somali Regional State, Eastern Ethiopia, Research and Reports in Neonatology, pp. 23–33, 2021.
- Purwandari R, Haryatiningsih K, Eni, Aprilia. Risk factors for late Preterm infants in one Public Hospital at Banyumas District Indonesia. Jurnal of Bionursing. 2020;2(2):113–9.
- Stylianou-Riga et al. Maternal socioeconomic factors and the risk of premature birth and low birth weight in Cyprus: a case – control study, Reproductive Healthpp. 1–8, 2018.
- Nwoga OH, Ajuba OM, Chukwuma CPC, Igweagu P. Influence of maternal occupation on adverse pregnancy outcomes in a Nigerian tertiary health facility, International J Community Med Public Health, vol. 8, no. 7, 2021.
- Kelkay B, Omer A, Teferi Y, Moges Y. Factors Associated with Singleton Preterm Birth in Shire Suhul General Hospital, Northern Ethiopia, Hindawi J Pregnancy, pp. 1–10. 2019.
- Ali Bin HD. Risk factors associated with preterm birth: a retrospective study in Mukalla Maternity and Childhood Hospital, Hadhramout Coast/Yemen. Sudan J Paediatrics. 2020;20(2):99–110.
- Huang J, Qian a, Gao M, Ding H, Zhang L, Jia R. "Analysis of factors related to preterm birth: a retrospective study at Nanjing Maternity and Child Health Care Hospital in China," *Medicine*, vol. 99, no. 28, p. e21172, 2020.
- Aseidu EK, Bandoh DA, Ameme DK, Kenu E. Obstetric determinants of preterm delivery in a regional hospital, Accra, Ghana. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2019;19(1):1–8.
- Teklay G, Teshale T, Tasew H, Zeru T. Risk factors of preterm birth among mothers who gave birth in public hospitals of central zone, Tigray, Ethiopia: unmatched case–control study 2017/2018. BMC Res Notes. 2018;11(1):1–7.
- 22. Walani SR. Global burden of preterm birth. Int J Gynecol Obstet. 2020;150(1):31–3.
- Soltani M, Tabatabaee HR, Saeidinejat S, Hajipour M. Assessing the risk factors before pregnancy of preterm births in Iran: a population based case-control study, BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, pp. 1–8, 2019.
- Deressa AT, Cherie A, Belihu TM, Tasisa GG. Factors associated with spontaneous preterm birth in Addis Ababa public hospitals, Ethiopia: cross sectional study. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2018;18(332):1–5.
- Mwansa K, Ahmed Y, Vwalika B. Prevalence and Factors Associated with spontaneous Preterm Birth at the University Teaching Hospital, Lusaka Zambia. Med J Zambia. 2020;47(1):48–56.
- Karenina BBdM, Mda aCC, Oliveira JdC, Ney RC. Risk factors Associated with Preterm Birth in a Brazilian maternal and Child Health Hospital. Obstetrics and Gynaecology Cases - Reviews. 2018;5(6):1–5.
- Rugaimukam JJ., M, Mahande J, Msuya ES, Philemon RN. Risk factors for Preterm Birth among women who delivered Preterm babies at Bugando Medical Centre, Tanzania. SOJ Gynecol Obstet Womens Health. 2017;3(2):1–7.
- Abadiga M, Wakuma B, Oluma A, Fekadu G, Mosisa G. Determinants of preterm birth among women delivered in public hospitals of Western Ethiopia, 2020: unmatched case-control study. PLoS ONE. 2021;16(1):1–16.
- Dugna B. Prevalence of Preterm Birth and its Associated factors among mothers delivered in Jimma University Specialized Teaching and Referral Hospital, Jimma Zone, Oromia Regional State, South West Ethiopia. J Women's Health Care. 2017;06(01):1–10.
- 30. Kassabian S, Fewer S, Yamey G, Brindis CD, 1Institute of Global Health Sciences, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA. Building a global policy agenda to prioritize preterm birth: a qualitative analysis on factors shaping global health. Gates Open Research. 2020;4:1–19.

- 31. Vogel JPCSMABWKBMLP. The global epidemiology of preterm birth. Best Pract Research: Clin Obstet Gynecol. 2018;52:3–12.
- K. G. A. LSMMRWSM. Y. W. S. W. N. E. L. P. T. P. A. N. F. R. L. Franck, Research priorities of women at risk for preterm birth: findings and a call to action. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2020;20(1):1–17.
- 33. Mukhtar MF. Knowledge of Mother regarding premature Baby Care in Mosul City. Mosul Jurnal of Nursing. 2020;8(2):108–18.
- Pallithazath SR, Parvathi A, Neelanjana A, Sudha S, Ann VS, Aswathy S, Velickakathu SS. Risk factors associated with preterm delivery in singleton pregnancy in a tertiary care hospital in South India: a case control study. Int J Women's Health. 2021;13:369–77.
- 35. Gurung A, Wrammert J, Sunny AK, Gurung N, Rana YN, Basaula P, Paudel A, Pokhrel, Kc A. Incidence, risk factors and consequences of preterm birth findings from a multi-centric observational study for 14 months in Nepal, vol. 78, no. 1, pp. 1–17, 2020.
- 36. Laelago T, Yohannes T, Tsige G. Determinants of preterm birth among mothers who gave birth in East Africa: systematic review and meta-analysis. Talian J Pediatr. 2020;46(1):1–14.
- Usynina AA, Postoev VA, Grjibovski AM, Krettek A, Nieboer E, Odland JØ, Anda EE. Maternal risk factors for Preterm Birth in Murmansk County, Russia: A Registry-based study. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol. 2016;30(5):462–72.
- Hidalgo LP, Carmona -TJM, Hidalgo MM, Rodríguez BMA, López SPJ. Sociodemographic factors associated with preterm birth and low birth weight: a cross-sectional study, Women and Birth, vol. 32, no. 6, pp. e538-e543, 2019.
- Mane AD, Pujari HR, Salunkhe J and., Alate MM. Assessment of risk factors and its fetal outcome of preterm birth: in rural tertiary care hospital, Karad, Maharashtra. Med Res J. 2019;4(2):80–4.
- Kinpoon K, Chaiyarach S. The incidence and risk factors for Preterm Delivery in Northeast Thailand. Thai J Obstet Gynecol. 2021;29(2):100–11.
- Ikrama H, Surajudeen B, Anazodo M, Lawal AM. Burden and risk factors of preterm birth in Nasarawa State, North Central, Nigeria: a five-year case review. J Med Res. 2021;7(2):36–41.
- 42. Jeena PM, Asharam K, Mitku AA, Naidoo P, Naidoo RN. Maternal demographic and antenatal factors, low birth weight and preterm birth: findings from the mother and child in the environment (MACE) birth cohort, Durban, South Africa. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2020;6:1–11.
- Mukabutera, et al. Maternal genitourinary Infections and poor nutritional status increase risk of preterm birth in Gasabo District, Rwanda: a prospective, longitudinal, cohort study. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2020;0:1–13.
- Mekonen DG, Yismaw AE, Nigussie TS, Ambaw WM. Proportion of Preterm birth and associated factors among mothers who gave birth in Debretabor town health institutions, northwest, Ethiopia 11 Medical and Health sciences 1114 Paediatrics and Reproductive Medicine. BMC Res Notes. 2019;12(1):10-5.
- Innocent BM, Mahande MJ, Obure J. and H. G. Mwambi1, predictors of singleton preterm birth using multinomial regression models accounting for missing data: a birth registry-based cohort study in northern Tanzania, PLOS ONE, pp. 1–23, 2021.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.